UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING OFFICER

November 26, 1996

)	
)	8 U.S.C. § 1324a Proceeding
)	
)	OCAHO Case No. 96A00085
)	
)	
)	
)))))))

ORDER OF DISMISSAL-SETTLED

This is an action arising under the Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1324a (INA), in which the United States Department of Justice, Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) is the Complainant and Tri K. Fashion, Inc. is the Respondent. On August 1, 1996, INS filed a Complaint consisting of four counts with the Office of the Chief Administrative Hearing Officer (OCAHO). In lieu of an Answer, counsel for the respondent entered a Notice of Appearance advising this office that the respondent planned on negotiating with the complainant for possible settlement.

On November 22, 1996, the complainant filed a Motion to Dismiss together with a Settlement Agreement, which has been signed by both parties and which resolves all issues raised by the Complaint. The entire record in this matter consists of the Complaint, the Notice of Hearing, a Notice of Appearance, and the Joint Motion to Dismiss with the Settlement Agreement attached.

Under § 68.14(a) of the OCAHO Rules of Practice and Procedure:

- (a) Where the parties or their authorized representatives or their counsel have entered into a proposed settlement agreement, they shall:
 - (1) Submit to the presiding Administrative Law Judge:
 - (i) The proposed agreement containing consent findings; and
 - (ii) A proposed decision and order; <u>or</u> (emphasis added)
 - (2) Notify the Administrative Law Judge that the parties

have reached a full settlement and have agreed to dismissal of the action. Dismissal of the action shall be subject to the approval of the Administrative Law Judge.

28 C.F.R. § 68.14.

In this case, I find that the parties have complied with the requirements of 28 C.F.R. § 68.14(a)(2). I have reviewed the Settlement Agreement, and I find that its terms are appropriate pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 68.14(a).

I further find that under the terms of the Agreement, and pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 68.14:

- 1. Respondent has withdrawn his request for hearing on the merits,
- 2. this matter is dismissed with prejudice in that respondent will pay a civil monetary fine in the total amount of \$6,710.00 with periodic payments to be made in the manner set forth in the Settlement Agreement,
- 3. it is appropriate to grant the Motion to Dismiss (Settled) in the instant proceeding based upon the parties' notification made pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 68.14(a)(2), and
- 4. the Complaint is dismissed.

SO ORDERED

Dated and entered this 26th day of November, 1996.

Ellen K. Thomas
Administrative Law Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 26th day of November, 1996, I have served copies of the foregoing Order of Dismissal-Settled on the following persons at the addresses indicated:

Dea Carpenter, Esquire Associate General Counsel Immigration and Naturalization Service 425 "I" Street, N.W., Room 6100 Washington, D.C. 20536

Soni Sinha, Esquire Immigration and Naturalization Service 26 Federal Plaza New York, NY 10278

Tri K. Fashion, Inc. 270 West 38th Street, 5th Floor New York, NY 10018 Hyong Ill Kim, President

Office of the Chief Administrative Hearing Officer 5107 Leesburg Pike, Suite 2519 Falls Church, Virginia 22041

Cynthia A. Castañeda
Legal Technician to
Ellen K. Thomas
Administrative Law Judge
Office of the Chief Administrative
Hearing Officer
5107 Leesburg Pike, Suite 1905
Falls Church, Virginia 22041
(703) 305-1742